

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 8603–8606

S-Methylation of polythiolactam: chemical transformation of macrocyclic anion receptor into new macrocyclic ligand for metal ions

Junichi Sawada,^a Ken Okamoto,^{a,b} Takakazu Yamamoto^a and Takaki Kanbara^{b,c,*}

a Chemical Resources Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan b
b Tsukuba Research Center for Interdisciplinary Materials Science (TIMS), University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 T ^bTsukuba Research Center for Interdisciplinary Materials Science (TIMS), University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennoudai,

Tsukuba 305-8573, Japan
Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennoudai, Tsukuba 305-8573, Japan ^c

Received 26 August 2007; revised 5 October 2007; accepted 11 October 2007 Available online 14 October 2007

Abstract—The S-methylation of a macrocyclic tetrathiolactam afforded a new macrocyclic thioimidate that exhibited good affinity toward metal ions. The molecular structures of the macrocyclic ligand and its metal complexes were determined by X-ray crystallography.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Macrocyclic compounds often have molecular recogni-tion abilities.^{[1](#page-2-0)} Polylactams (i.e., macrocyclic amides) have recently received considerable interest as hydrogen bonding donors due to their ability to include anions and organic molecules,^{[2](#page-2-0)} whereas macrocyclic compounds containing $-N$, $-O$, and $-S$ – groups form useful inclusion complexes with metal ions, and their widespread applications in molecular recognition and metal ion transport are expected.[3](#page-2-0)

Bowman-James's group and our group previously demonstrated that the thionation of polylactams enhances the hydrogen donor ability of the hydrogen in the N–H group, and the obtained polythiolactams (i.e., macrocyclic thioamides) exhibited strong affinity toward anions.^{[4](#page-2-0)} We here report the further chemical transformation of polythiolactam, which is effective for inverting the inclusion ability of the macrocyclic compound and provides a new macrocyclic ligand for metal ions.

Secondary thioamides have often been used as versatile intermediates for synthetic applications in medical and organic chemistry,^{[5](#page-2-0)} and it is known that the S-alkylation of secondary thioamides yields thioimidates.[6](#page-2-0) This situation prompted us to carry out the S-methylation of polythiolactam 1 to yield a new macrocyclic thioimidate 2, as shown in [Scheme 1](#page-1-0). Compound 2 has six nitrogen lone pair electrons facing the cavity and is expected to exhibit strong affinity toward metal ions. Metal complexes of macrocyclic ligands with Schiff base units have extensively been studied, $3,7$ and lanthanide(III) complexes with macrocyclic hexaaza Schiff base ligands are known to act as a catalyst for RNA cleavage.^{[8](#page-2-0)} However, studies of macrocyclic ligands containing the thioimi-date group remain rare.^{[9](#page-2-0)} In this Letter, preparation and molecular structures of metal complexes of 2 $([2 M]X_n)$ are presented.

Compound 1 was prepared as previously reported.^{4a} The S-methylation of 1 with trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate ($Me₃OBF₄$) afforded 2 in moderate yield

Keywords: Macrocycle; Thioamide; Thioimidate; Metal complexes.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 29 853 5066; fax: +81 29 853 4490; e-mail: kanbara@ims.tsukuba.ac.jp

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.10.048

Scheme 1.

 (60%) ,^{[10](#page-3-0)} whereas a similar reaction with a related polylactam 3 did not give the corresponding macrocyclic imidate. The treatment of 2 with metal salts readily provided metal complexes, $[2 \text{ } M]X_n$ (M = K, Ca, Eu, Er; $X = Cl$, I, and NO_3).^{[11](#page-3-0)}

The chemical structures of 2 and $[2 \text{ M}] \text{X}_n$ were confirmed by spectroscopic methods and X-ray crystallo-graphy (see below).^{[10–12](#page-3-0)} Figure 1 shows the ¹H NMR spectra of 2 and $[2 \text{Ca}]\text{Cl}_2$ in CDCl₃. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR peaks of 2 cannot be assigned definitively because of the complex signal pattern, although the signals became broader and simpler at 130° C in DMSO- d_6 . The stereoisomerism of 2 in solution is suggested to be

Figure 1. ¹H NMR spectra of (a) 2 and (b) $[2 \text{Ca} \cdot \text{Ca}]$ (400 MHz, CDCl3, 298 K). Peaks marked with an asterisk * are due to solvent impurities.

due to the E/Z isomerization of the thioimidate group.^{6d} In contrast, complexation with a Ca(II) ion is considered to fix the geometry of 2 and results in a simplified ¹H NMR spectrum, as shown in Figure 1b. For [2·Eu]- $(NO₃)₃$ and $[2E_T](NO₃)₃$, the complexation of the paramagnetic metals leads to significant changes in the ¹H NMR spectrum,^{[13](#page-3-0)} and the photoluminescence spectrum of $[2 \text{Eu} (NO_3)]$ exhibits a sharp emission peak at 616 nm in CH₃CN due to the ⁵D₀ \rightarrow ⁷F₂ transition of the Eu(III) ion.^{[7,8](#page-2-0)} The emission intensity of $[2 \text{Eu} (NO_3)_3]$ is about four times stronger than that of a Eu(III) complex of the macrocyclic hexaaza Schiff base ligand $([4Eu](NO₃)₃)$.^{7f,g}

To examine the affinity of 2 toward metal ions, competitive complexation experiments on 2 and the known macrocyclic ligand, dibenzo-18-crown-6(DB18C6), 14 14 14 were carried out by ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy. The NMR experiments using 1:1 mixtures of $[2 \text{Ca} \vert \text{Cl}_2$ with DB18C6 and of $[2 \text{Eu}](NO_3)_3$ with DB18C6 showed that 2 exhibited much higher affinity toward Ca(II) and Eu(III) ions than DB18C6. In neither case was any free 2 detected. In contrast, the NMR spectrum of a 1:1 mixture of $[2K]$ I with DB18C6 showed free 2, indicating less affinity of 2 toward K^+ ion than that of DB18C6.

The ORTEP drawings of 2, $[2 \text{Ca}]\text{Cl}_2$, and $[2 \text{Eu}]\text{(NO}_3)$ ₃ are presented in Figure 2^{12} 2^{12} 2^{12} In the X-ray quality single crystals of 2, the asymmetric unit of 2 consists of two halves of two independent and discrete molecules. [Figure 2a](#page-2-0) indicates that 2 adopts an approximately C_{2v} conformation, and the two pyridine rings are heavily tilted in opposite directions from the center circle with dihedral angles of 39.20° and 46.31° , presumably due to electronic repulsion between the lone pair electrons of the nitrogen atoms. In contrast, as shown in [Figure](#page-2-0) [2b](#page-2-0) and c, the complexation of 2 with metal ions leads to a well-fitted accommodation of a metal ion in the cavity, which induces reductions in the dihedral angles between the pyridine rings and the macrocyclic framework of 32.25° and 24.16° , respectively. For [2Eu]- $(NO₃)₃$, the two pyridine rings are twisted with a dihedral angle of 48.33° upon coordination to a Eu(III) ion. In both complexes, the metal atom is bound to all six nitrogen atoms of 2 with Ca–N and Eu–N atom distances of $2.708 - 2.825$ and $2.598 - 2.631$ Å, respectively. The Eu–N atom distances are consistent with those

Figure 2. X-ray crystal structures of (a) 2, (b) $[2 \text{Ca} C]_2$, and (c) $[2 \text{Eu} (NO_3)]$ with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. One of the two crystallographically independent molecules of 2 is shown. Hydrogen atoms, anions, and solvated molecules are omitted for simplicity.

reported for the Eu complexes of the macrocyclic hexaaza Schiff base ligand $(2.564-2.747 \text{ Å})$.^{[15](#page-3-0)}

In contrast to extensive studies of the metal complexes with $4,3,7,8$ to our knowledge, the isolation of $\overline{4}$ has not been successful presumably due to the poor resistance of the imine bonds of 4 toward hydrolysis.^{7d,8b} The resistance of 2 toward hydrolysis in basic and acidic media was evaluated using ¹H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl₃. The treatment of a CDCl₃ solution of 2 with aqueous NaOH gave essentially the same NMR spectrum after 1 week. The addition of aqueous trifluoroacetic acid led to the protonation of 2; however, the spectrum remained unchanged for 1 week. The treatment of the solution with $Na₂CO₃$ gave essentially the same NMR spectrum as that of the original 2. Therefore, 2 seems to be a stable ligand and can serve as a good ionophore for various metal ions.

As described above, 1 is not only a good anion receptor but also a useful starting material for the preparation of a new macrocyclic thioimidate 2. Compound 2 is a stable macrocyclic ligand that forms complexes with metal ions. This synthetic protocol is practical for the design of various macrocyclic ligands and ionophores for metal ions.

ORTEP drawings of $[2K]$ I and $[2E]$ _{(NO3)3}. Crystallographic data for the structural analysis have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center; Publication Numbers CCDC 656961 (2·2CH₃CN), 656962 ($[2K]$), 656963 ($[2\text{-}Ca]Cl$ ³ CH₃CN), 656964 $([2Eu](N\ddot{O}_3)_3.2H_2O)$, and 656965 $([2Er](N\ddot{O}_3)_3)$.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Dr. T. Koizumi, Dr. H. Fukumoto, and Dr. Y. Nakamura of Tokyo Institute of Technology for their experimental support. The authors are grateful to the Chemical Analysis Center of University of the Tsukuba, for measurements of Xray crystallography and NMR spectra.

References and notes

- 1. (a) Lehn, L. M. Supramolecular Chemistry-Concepts and Perspectives; VCH: Veinheim, 1995; (b) Rebek, J., Jr. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 278.
- 2. (a) Choi, K.; Hamilton, A. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 240, 101; (b) Bondy, C. R.; Loeb, S. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 240, 77; (c) Gale, P. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 240, 191.
- 3. (a) Lindoy, L. F. The Chemistry of Macrocyclic Ligand Complexes; Cambridge University Press: New York, 1989; (b) Hancock, R. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 253; (c) Nabeshima, T.; Yoshihiro, Y.; Saiki, T.; Akine, S.; Horn, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 28.
- 4. (a) Inoue, Y.; Kanbara, T.; Yamamoto, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5167; (b) Hossain, Md. A.; Kang, S. O.; Llinares, J. M.; Powell, D.; Bowman-James, K. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 5043; (c) Inoue, Y.; Kanbara, T.; Yamamoto, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 4603.
- 5. (a) Jagodziński, T. S. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 197; (b) Murai, T. Top Curr. Chem. 2005, 251, 247; (c) Murai, T.; Aso, H.; Tatematsu, Y.; Itoh, Y.; Niwa, H.; Kato, S. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8514.
- 6. (a) Shiosaki, K. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 2, p 865; (b) Ach, D.; Reboul, V.; Metzner, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 2573; (c) Casadei, M. A.; Rienzo, B. D.; Moracci, F. M. Synth. Commun. 1983, 13, 753; (d) Walter, W.; Meese, C. O. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110, 2463; (e) Masson, S.; Mothes, V.; Thuillier, A. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1580.
- 7. (a) Hernandez-Molina, R.; Mederos, A. In Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II; McCleverty, J. A., Meyer, Thomas J., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2004; p 1; (b) Brooker, S. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 2535; (c) Bell, T. W.; Guzzo, F.; Drew, M. G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3115; (d) De Cola, L.; Smailes, D. L.; Vallarino, L. M. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 1729; (e) Benetollo, F.; Bombieri, G.; Fonda, K. K.; Polo, A.; Quagliano, J. R.; Vallarino, L. M. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 1345; (f) Kasuga, K.; Moriguchi, T.; Yamada, K.; Hiroe, M.; Handa, M.; Sogabe, K. Polyhedron 1994, 13, 159; (g) Arif, A. M.; Backer-Dirks, J. D. J.; Gray, C. J.; Hart, F. A.; Hursthouse, M. B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 1665.
- 8. (a) Morrow, J. R.; Buttrey, L. A.; Shelton, V. M.; Berback, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1903; (b) Voss, D. A., Jr.; Buttrey-Thomas, L. A.; Janik, T. S.; Churchill, M. R.; Morrow, J. R. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2001, 317, 149.
- 9. Nirantar et al. have reported, synthesis of macrocyclic thioimidate ligand; however, any complex formation

could not be detected; Nirantar, S. S.; Mashraqui, S. H. Indian J. Chem. Sect. B 1995, 34, 1102.

- 10. Macrocyclic thioimidate 2: Compound 1 (400 mg, 0.9 mmol) was added to a CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) solution of $Me₃OBF₄$ (1270 mg, 8.6 mmol). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 h. After the reaction, the reaction mixture was washed with aqueous NaOH and the product was extracted with CHCl₃. The organic layer was separated, and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was thoroughly washed with $CH₃CN$ to give a white powder of 2 (270 mg, 60% yield). FAB-MS: m/z 503 $[M+H]^{+}$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz in CDCl₃): δ 7.81–7.24 (m, 6H), 4.12– 3.59 (m, 8H), 2.50–2.04 (m, 12H). 13C NMR (100 MHz in CDCl3): d 164.9–164.0, 155.6–152.2, 138.1–136.6, 122.9– 122.0, 55.3–53.8, 16.0–13.1. Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{26}N_6S_4$: C, 52.56; H, 5.21; N, 16.72. Found: C, 52.52; H, 5.07; N, 16.60.
- 11. $[2\text{-Ca}$ Cl₂: CaCl₂ (33 mg, 0.3 mmol) was added to a CHCl₃ (2 mL) solution of 2 (25 mg, 0.05 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction mixture was filtrated and the solvent in the filtrate was removed by evaporation. The complex was purified by recrystallization from CH3CN/ether to give a white powder of $[2\text{-Ca}$ Cl_2 (15 mg, 50% yield). FAB-MS: m/z 577 $[M-Cl]^+$. HRMS: calcd for $C_{22}H_{26}N_6CaClS_4$: 577.0416. Found: 577.0410. ¹H NMR (400 MHz in CDCl₃): δ 8.03 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.95 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (s, 8H), 2.37 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz in CDCl3): d 165.3, 153.3, 139.1, 126.0, 55.1, 17.1. Other metal complexes of 2 were prepared analogously. $[2K]$ I: 46% yield. FAB-MS: m/z 541 $[M-I]^{+}$. HRMS: calcd for $C_{22}H_{26}N_6KS_4$: 541.0739. Found: 541.0751. ¹H NMR (400 MHz in CDCl₃): δ 8.02 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 3.95 (s, 8H), 2.25 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz in CDCl3): d 165.3, 154.5, 138.0, 124.5, 53.8, 16.5. [2·Eu](NO₃)₃: 48% yield. FAB-MS: m/z 779 [M- $(NO₃)$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for C₂₂H₂₆N₈EuO₆S₄: 779.0065. Found: 779.0052. ¹H NMR (400 MHz in CD₃CN): δ 4.74 $(t, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$, 2.77 (d, $J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H}$), 1.87 (s, 12H), 0.79 (s, 8H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz in CD₃CN): δ 146.4, 145.3, 127.6, 103.05, 63.8, 22.7. [2·Er](NO₃)₃: 71% yield. ESI-MS: m/z 792 $[M-(NO₃)]^+$, 365 $[M-2(NO₃)]^2$ ⁺, 223 $[M-3(NO₃)]³⁺$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz in CD₃CN): δ 20.18 (s, 8H), 19.60 (br, 4H), 15.63 (br, 2H), 2.69 (s, 12H).
- 12. Crystal data of 2.2CH₃CN: C₂₆H₃₂N₈S₄, $M = 584.83$, triclinic, P1bar, $a = 9.7533(13)$, $b = 11.1288(17)$, $c =$ 15.206(2) Å, $\alpha = 87.059(7)^\circ,$ $\beta = 73.836(8)^\circ,$ $\gamma =$ 69.692(4)°, $V = 1484.8(4)$ \mathring{A}^3 , $Z = 2$, $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.308$ g/
cm³, $\mu(\text{Mo K}\alpha) = 3.506$ cm⁻¹, $T = 113$ K, $F(000) = 616$, observed reflections 6186 (all data), variables 375, $R_1 =$ 0.0327 $(I > 2\sigma(I))$, $R = 0.0391$, $R_w = 0.0521$, GOF = 0.992. Crystal data of [2·K]I: $C_{22}H_{26}IKN_6S_4$, $M =$ 668.73, triclinic, P1 bar, $a = 9.615(3)$, $b = 10.868(4)$, $c = 13.561(5)$ Å, $\alpha = 97.686(5)$ °, $\beta = 103.203(6)$ °, $\gamma =$ 89.845(5)°, $V = 1366.6(8)$ \AA^3 , $Z = 2$, $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.625$ g/
cm³, $\mu(\text{Mo K}\alpha) = 16.536$ cm⁻¹, $T = 113$ K, $F(000) = 672$, observed reflections 5507 (all data), variables 333, $R_1 = 0.1111$ $(I > 2\sigma(I)),$ $R = 0.1129,$ $R_w = 0.2153,$ $GOF = 1.055$. Despite several attempts, X-ray quality single crystals of $[2K]$ I could not be obtained. Crystal data of $[2\text{-}\text{Ca}]\text{Cl}_2\text{-}\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$: C₂₄H₂₉CaCl₂N₇S₄, M = 654.77, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, $a = 9.514(3)$, $b = 10.257(3)$, $c = 16.045(5)$ Å, $\beta = 91.9400(11)$ °, $V = 1564.8(9)$ Å³, $Z = 2$, $D_{\text{caled}} = 1.390 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $\mu(\text{Mo K}\alpha) = 6.642 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $T = 113 \text{ K}$, $F(000) = 680$, observed reflections 3408 (all data), variables 203, $R_1 = 0.0435$ $(I > 2\sigma(I)),$ $R =$ 0.0452 , $R_w = 0.1053$, GOF = 0.984. Crystal data of
[2·Eu](NO₃)₃·2H₂O: C₂₂H₃₀EuN₉O₁₁S₄, M = 876.73, $C_{22}H_{30}EuN_9O_{11}S_4$ monoclinic, $C2/c$, $a = 16.023(2)$, $b = 15.4693(19)$, $c =$ 12.6922(16) \mathring{A} , $\mathring{\beta} = 99.2197(16)^\circ$, $V = 3105.2(7)$ \mathring{A}^3 , $\mathring{Z} = 4$, $D_{\text{caled}} = 1.875 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $\mu(\text{Mo K}\alpha) = 23.571 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $T =$ 113 K, $F(000) = 1760$, observed reflections 3430 (all data), variables 235, $R_1 = 0.0214$ $(I > 2\sigma(I))$, $R = 0.0228$, $R_w = 0.0287$, GOF = 0.934. Crystal data of [2·Er](NO₃)₃: $C_{22}H_{26}ErN_9O_9S_4$, $M = 856.00$, triclinic, P1 bar, $a =$ 8.579(2), $b = 11.702(3)$, $c = 15.331(4)$ Å, $\alpha = 94.573(4)$ °, $\beta = 100.778(4)$ °, $\gamma = 102.607(3)$ °, $V = 1463.8(6)$ \AA ³, $Z = 2$, $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.942 \text{ g/cm}^3$,
113 K, $F(000) = 850$, $\mu(Mo\,\text{K}\alpha) = 32.146\,\text{cm}^{-1}$ $T =$
5573 observed reflections $(I > 2\sigma(I))$, variables 432, $R_1 = 0.0194$, $R_w = 0.0264$, $GOF = 0.954.$
- 13. Fonda, K. K.; Vallarino, L. M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2002, 334, 403.
- 14. (a) Buschmann, H.-J.; Cleve, E.; Denter, U.; Schollmeyer, E. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 1997, 10, 781; (b) Pedersen, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 7017.
- 15. Churchill, M. R.; Lake, C. H.; Buttrey, L. A.; Morrow, J. R. J. Coord. Chem. 2000, 50, 339.